

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/878,499	06/11/2001	Jeffrey S. Brown	L13.12-0154/00-674	3071	
7590 10/17/2003			EXAMINER		
Pete P. Scott			THOMPSON, ANNETTE M		
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION					
M/S D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1551 McCarthy Boulevard			2825		
Milpitas, CA	95033	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				RC			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/878,499		BROWN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
		A. M. Thompson		2825				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sh et with the co	orrespondence add	r ss			
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any ro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minitivill apply and will expire Society cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	l <u>uly 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Dispositi								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	un from considers	etion					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDER	10011.					
·								
	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requiren	nent.					
9)🛛 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)🛛 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>10 Se<i>ptember 2001</i></u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted	or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.	,			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)⊡ disapprov	ved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·	on.					
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Applicatio	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		tage			
					application)			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	-	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/878,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 21 July 2003 has been entered.

2. Applicants' Amendment to 09/878,499 has been examined and remarks reviewed. Claims 1 and 5 are amended. Claims 1-5 are pending.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form.
- 4. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: Pursuant to claim 1, at ine 18, "the I/O transmitter" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 2825

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Rejection of Claims 1, 2, and 5

- 6. Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fitzhenry et al (Fitzhenry), U.S. PGPUB 2002/0184601. Fitzhenry discloses a method and apparatus for circuit design that avoids antenna rule violations.
- 7. Pursuant to claim 1 which recites a hard macro (¶ [0028]) having an antenna rule violation free I/O port (¶¶ [0032, 0033]) having a port metallic conductor in a low level metalization layer (see Fig. 2; ¶¶ [003, 0033]); an I/O transistor (¶ 0045) having a gate conductor separated from a diffusion region by a gate oxide layer; a top level metallic conductor of a highest level metalization layer that is electrically coupled to a diffusion region (¶¶ [0033, 0037, 0044, 0046, 0047, 0055]); an electrical connection between the port level metallic conductor and the gate conductor including a first conducting section extending from the gate conductor to the top level metallic conductor (¶¶ [0051, 0053, 0054, 0056]) and a second conductor (¶¶ [0051, 0053, 0054, 0056]).
- 8. Pursuant to claim 2, wherein the first and second conducting sections include a plurality of vias (¶¶ [0052, 0053] discloses V1 and V2) extending vertically between the adjacent metalization layers.
- 9. Pursuant to claim 5, it addresses the limitations already rejected in claim 1, supra, and incorporates a method of producing the hard macro. Fitzhenry also includes a method of producing, see e.g. claim 29 "a method of circuit design". Therefore claim 5 is likewise rejected using the rationale of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Rejection of Claim 3

12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzhenry and Applicants' admitted prior art. Fitzhenry discloses method and apparatus for circuit design that avoids antenna rule violations. Although Fitzhenry discloses a multi-layer circuit comprised of multiple circuit blocks (see, e.g. ¶ [0013, 0028], Fitzhenry does not explicitly delineate the names of the circuit components. Applicants' Background of the Invention, (in Applicants' specification page 1, lines 15-18) specifies that the circuit components include the specific macros claimed by Applicant, e.g. processor cores, memory arrays, input and output interface circuits, encodes, and decoders. It therefore

Art Unit: 2825

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that Fitzhenry, coupled with Applicants' explicit prior art admission, teaches or suggests Applicants' claimed limitation.

Page 5

13. Pursuant to claim 3, wherein the hard macro is selected form a group consisting of a processor, memory, an input interface circuit, an output interface circuit, an encoder, and a decoder (Fitzhenry [0028] and Applicants' specification page 1, lines 15-18).

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please reference the PTO-892 for a complete listing.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-7441. The Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-1323.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 or the Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

16. Responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

.

Art Unit: 2825

(703) 872-9306, (for all **OFFICIAL** communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark

Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

A M. THOMPSON
Master's Level Patent Examiner

Page 6